Numerous 1989 Law Changes Will Affect Physicians

The 1989 session of the Legislature produced a number of changes in the law which will directly or indirectly affect physicians.

**BUDGET BILL.** Following release by the Board of a report to the Legislature describing a persistent large backlog of investigations, the Legislature proposed and the Governor approved increasing the Board staff. As of July 1, 1989, the Board has authority to hire eighteen additional investigators, two physician consultants and eight other support staff. Ten of these positions are temporary for two years.

The Medical Board itself sponsored five bills, all of which were signed by Governor Deukmejian.

**NAME CHANGE.** On January 1, 1990, the BMQA will become the MBC. Assembly Bill (AB) 184, authored by Assemblywoman Jackie Speier, changes the Board’s name to Medical Board of California. This was recommended by the Board after complaints that it was hard to locate in phone directories, and the name confused some people. The new name will be phased in on licenses and other documents as they are reprinted.

**FEE WAIVER FOR DISABLED PHYSICIANS.** Assemblyman William Filante, M.D. authored AB 2118, which will allow disabled physicians and podiatrists to request a waiver of license renewal fees. The doctor will have to submit documentation of the disability, and to notify the Board if he or she recovers.

**MEDICAL SCHOOL FACULTY.** Dr. Filante also authored AB 2119, which combines two sections governing the practice of medicine by physicians from outside California, who have medical school faculty appointments in California.

**CLINICAL TRAINING REQUIREMENTS.** Assemblyman Curtis Tucker, Jr., who recently was elected to fill his late father’s seat in the Assembly, carried AB 2215 for the Board. This bill makes several clarifying changes relating to clinical training, examinations administered in other states, and to the distinction between medical practice and training of physicians.

“WHISTLE-BLOWERS”. Senator Barry Keene authored SB 1480, which provides additional protection and confidentiality to persons who report unprofessional conduct of health practitioners to their Boards.

Among legislation which was sponsored by other organizations or individuals, the following bills became law:

**HOSPITAL DISCIPLINARY REPORTS TO THE BOARD.** Under AB 2122, Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to include “termination of staff privileges, membership or employment...” In the grounds for filing a report with the Board. The section also was amended to require the chief executive officer or administrator, as well as the chief of staff, to file reports.

**PEER REVIEW.** In 1986, the Congress passed Public Law 99-660. Among other things, it sets standards for the conduct of peer review in health facilities. States were given the option to “opt-out” of the federal peer review requirements if they preferred to impose state requirements. At the request of the California Medical Association, Senator Barry Keene carried SB 1211, which opts California out of the federal system, and retains the existing system of statutory and case law which has evolved in this state over the years.

**PRACTICE DURING DISASTERS.** AB 402 (Assemblywoman Lucille Roybal-Allard) permits physicians licensed outside of California to provide health care without a California license during a disaster or state of emergency.

**EXAMINATION SUBVERSION.** Assemblyman Chris Chandler is the author of AB 1729, which makes it a misdemeanor to subvert a licensing examination.

**PHYSICIAN AND PODIATRIST LICENSE FEES.** The fee “ceiling” the Board can charge for licensure of a physician, or renewal of a license is raised from $325 to $400 under SB 1330, authored by Senator Robert Presley. The same bill raises the fee ceilings for a podiatrist from $525 to $800. For the Medical Board, the most significant cost increase is the enforcement staff augmentation described above.

Although the fee ceilings were increased, the actual fees will be set at somewhat lower levels. Effective in February 1990, the renewal fee for physician and surgeon licenses will be $360.

**P.A. SIGNATURE ON DEATH CERTIFICATES.** Assembly Bill 1912 by Assemblyman Norman Waters, allows physician assistants to sign death certificates under specified circumstances, and to report suspicious deaths to coroners.

Copies of the above bills may be obtained by writing or calling the Capitol Bill Room, State Capitol Room B-32, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 445-2323. There is no charge for single copies of bills.
"Thou Shalt Not Have Sex With Thy Patient!
a commentary on principles of medical ethics

by Jacquelin Trestrail, M.D., President, Division of Allied Health Professions

Every few years, the AMA revises its “Principles of Medical Ethics”. One principle seems to be gaining in importance with time even though it is not explicitly stated in the AMA statement. In simple terms, there is no time, there is no place, there is no circumstance when it is appropriate for any physician to have sexual contact with a patient.

The American Psychiatric Association (APA) incorporates the AMA principles into its own standards with annotations for psychiatrists. APA has annotated the second AMA principle as follows:

The requirement that the physician conduct himself with propriety in his/her profession and in all the actions of his/her life is especially important in the case of the psychiatrist because the patient tends to model his/her behavior after that of his/her therapist by identification. Further, the necessary intensity of the therapeutic relationship may tend to activate sexual and other needs and fantasies on the part of both patient and therapist, while weakening the objectivity necessary for control. Sexual activity with a patient is unethical.

There is no room in ethical professional behavior for rationalization about what constitutes sexual activity. Sexual advances or activity are to be avoided in any patient contact, whether examination, surgery or other treatment. An individual who is unable to control his or her own impulses has the ethical obligation to assure that the opportunity never presents.

A simple barrier to inappropriate acts is using a third person in the room during examinations. More importantly, the physician is obliged to recognize his or her own need for professional help. Misdirected sexual impulses toward patients rarely reflect normal human attraction. Far more often, they are a symptom of underlying pathology.

In the rare case where a physician and a patient experience real, mutual, non-exploitative attraction, the appropriate response is immediate termination of the professional relationship, with an appropriate referral to another physician. Sexuality must never come into play until the professional tie is severed. In some states, notably Minnesota, it is illegal for a mental health professional to have an intimate relationship with a patient for as along as five years following termination.

When confronted with a seductive patient, the physician has the high ground, and must assume responsibility for assuring the situation remains in control. Here again, the appropriate response may be to refer the patient to another physician, preferably one whose gender is not the object of the patient's seductive behavior.

In every case, the best rule to follow is “When in doubt, don't!”

Jacquelin Trestrail, M.D. is a radiologist practicing in San Diego. She recently completed a term as President of the San Diego Medical Society, and has been a member of the BMQA Division of Allied Health Professions since March 1987.

The Danger of Drug Side Effects in Patients with Multiple Diseases

Robert F. Maronde, M.D. and Gregory A. Thompson, Pharm.D.

Patients with multiple diagnoses - comorbid diseases - may be susceptible to unanticipated side effects of treatment, which at times may lead to death or serious deterioration of their condition.

Often an alternative drug choice, while generally considered less effective, may be the best option for a particular patient because of such comorbid conditions. It is especially important to exercise careful clinical judgement in circumstances of this type.

One example of such an effect may accompany prescribing beta adrenergic blocking agents for hypertension, coronary artery disease or cardiac arrhythmia. These agents may intensify associated congestive heart failure, decrease myocardial contractility and cause fluid retention in the kidney. The clinician should evaluate the risk to benefit, and consider alternatives such as antiarrhythmics or calcium entry blockers.

Similarly, morbidity studies of Medi-Cal recipients showed an increase in hospitalizations for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients taking beta adrenergic blockers for hypertension or other cardiovascular conditions. Pulmonary function decreases, and already compromised lungs may experience hypoxia, recurrence of childhood asthma or other sequelae. "Non-selective" beta blockers are more like to produce these effects than "cardio-selective" drugs.

Peptic ulcer disease may recur in the presence of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs used for unrelated musculo-skeletal conditions. These drugs also may exacerbate renal failure, particularly if abdominal ascites are present.

Diabetics may develop hyperkalemia if they are prescribed calcium-sparing diuretics. Lowered aldosterone secretion in diabetics may lead to insidious buildup of potassium to life threatening concentrations.

Finally, care should be exercised in the use of hypotensives if there is a history of transient ischemic attacks or coronary artery insufficiency. Administration of drugs with prolonged hypotensive action may worsen angitis of the renal arterioles and small arteries, and even produce ischemic renal disease superimposed on malignant nephrosclerosis. Controlled lowering of blood pressure with a rapidly reversible agent such as nitroprusside may be preferable.

While these and other unexpected outcomes are not common, it is important to be aware of comorbid conditions, and to prescribe with care. Risk must always be weighed against benefit.

Dr. Maronde is Chief of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Southern California School of Medicine. Dr. Thompson is Director of the Drug Information Center, Los Angeles County/USC Medical Center.

DISCLOSURE AND BILLING: LABORATORY SERVICES PERFORMED OUTSIDE THE PHYSICIAN OFFICE

If you, as a physician, send laboratory work out of your office to be performed, and you bill the patient for the work, you have two obligations to your patient.

1. You must inform your patient, at the time the service is first billed of the name and address, and the charge made by the clinical laboratory actually providing the service. This information must be separately set forth on your bill.

2. You must inform the patient whether the laboratory charge is included in the total amount of your bill.

The penalty for conviction of failure to provide this information to your patient is a fine of up to $10,000 or up to one year in county jail or both.

If you have an ownership interest in the laboratory, there may be other disclosure requirements.

For the actual language of this requirement, and certain exclusions for health care service plans, see Business and Professions Code, Section 655.5. Copies are available from the Board on request.
ELDER ABUSE: THE OTHER HIDDEN SHAME

Freddi I. Segal-Gidan, PA

In 1986, the Legislature passed Chapter 267 which requires physicians and other caregivers to report suspected cases of elder abuse (see article in ACTION REPORT #35, August 1988). This new law also directed the Board to periodically disseminate information to physicians and hospitals on the detection of elder abuse.

Elder abuse and neglect remain a hidden and often ignored problem. While physicians played a prominent role in the movement to recognize and intervene in child abuse, they have been less aggressive in the development of programs and policies in response to elder abuse. Current law now requires physicians and other health professionals to be alert for and actively intervene in cases of suspected elder abuse.

The U.S. Congress, through its House/Senate Committee on Aging, estimated in 1985 that 4% of the elderly population fall victim to some form of abuse. This includes physical and mental, active or passive neglect, and various forms of exploitation. This translates to one in every twenty-five older Americans, or over one hundred thousand cases each year.

Because many abuse victims are homebound, abuse is difficult to detect. This is exacerbated because the victims often are afraid or ashamed to report the problem.

PHYSICIANS ARE THE FIRST LINE OF DEFENSE

The majority of seniors have some contact with the health care system, usually through physician encounters. Estimates are that seniors make up 20% of family/general practice patients, 40% of internal medicine, and as much as 50% of some specialties such as neurology and psychiatry.

Health care providers in every practice setting are in position to identify suspected abuse. However, in order to do so they must be able to recognize potential risk factors.

There are predictive characteristics and behavior patterns which have been identified.

Most elder abuse is not random. Like other forms of family violence including child or spouse abuse, there is generally a continuing pattern.

Neither social, economic, cultural, nor age groups are reliable indicators of potential for abuse. However, there are predictive characteristics of victims and behavior patterns of abusers which have been identified. These include:

- Dependency, with at least one major debilitating physical or mental condition, particularly dementia.
- Female victim, particularly Caucasian women.
- Alcohol or other drug abuse by the victim or another in the household.
- The living situation, whether alone, with spouse, or with others, does not seem to be a significant factor in abuse.
- While certain attributes of the victim seem to increase susceptibility, characteristics of the primary caregiver are considered more predictive of potential abuse. The associative characteristics of abusers include:
  - A relative or significant caregiver, frequently the spouse.
  - The abuser is dependent on the victim in some way for assistance, perhaps with finances, housing, transportation, etc.
  - The abuser has a history of alcohol or other drug abuse.
  - Inexperienced as a caregiver.
  - A history of emotional or mental illness or of being abused, whether actual or perceived.
  - Severe external stress such as loss of job, illness, family problems.

The first step in decreasing the incidence of elder abuse is early identification. Health care providers first must be aware of the possibility of abuse of their patients. They should incorporate questions into the patient assessment which help to identify those at risk for abuse or neglect. The assessment should include both the patient and the caregiver or other companions.

Once potential abuse victims are identified, health care providers must be willing to:

- Notify appropriate public agencies.
- Seek and utilize the assistance of other health care providers with expertise, including home health agencies, mental health professionals and community agencies.
- Assume an active ongoing role in the protection and care of vulnerable seniors.

[Ms. Segal-Gidan is a physician's assistant and clinical instructor in the primary care physician assistant program of the school of medicine at University of Southern California. She works primarily with older patients and their families, and has extensive experience with abuse and neglect of elders.]
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON
DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

BABBIN, George W., M.D. (G-034795) - Sacramento, CA
725, 2239, 2241, 2238, 2236 B&P Code

BITTER, Patrick H., M.D. (A-021910) - Los Gatos, CA
2234, 2264 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Aided and abetted his son (not the son who is a physician) in the unlicensed practice of providing diagnostic medical screening procedures to the general public. Revoked, stayed, 3 years probation on terms and conditions. June 21, 1989

COFFIELD, Kenneth J., M.D. (G-008267) - Vallejo, CA
Persistently ignored the requirements of his probation as a form of civil disobedience in protest of prior disciplinary decision. Revoked. June 5, 1989

CHACON, Leonardo Reyes, M.D. (A-032705) - Rancho Palos Verdes, CA
490, 725, 2234(c), 2236 B&P Code
Conviction for filing false claims with insurance carrier. Revoked, stayed, 7 years probation on terms and conditions, including 60 days suspension. December 14, 1988 (Judicial Review Completed)

COURAH, Mohammad S., M.D. (A-028787) - Los Angeles, CA
725, 2234(b),(c) B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Gross negligence, repeated negligent acts and excessive prescribing of a regimen of drugs to weight control patients over long periods of time without adequate monitoring. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions. April 24, 1989

CVR, James O., M.D. (G-041898) - Palm Springs, CA
2305 B&P Code
Disciplinary action by Florida Medical Board. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions. April 20, 1989

DAVE, Idravan A., M.D. (C-041876) - Lake Verne, CA
2305 B&P Code
Disciplinary action by Georgia Medical Board. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions. May 15, 1989

DIBELLA, Geoffrey A., M.D. (G-021681) - New York, NY
2236, 2237 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Federal conviction for illegal distribution of large amounts of Quaalude, in connection with his work in a “sleep clinic” in New York City. Revoked, stayed, 10 years probation on terms and conditions, including 60 days suspension. May 5, 1989

GHALL, Nabih, M.D. (A-025206) - Miami, FL
2305 B&P Code
Kentucky license revoked by Kentucky for criminal conviction. Utah license revoked by Utah for making false statements in license renewal application. Revoked. June 27, 1988 (Judicial Review Completed)

HOELLWARTH, Robert W., M.D. (C-013059) - Vallejo, CA
2234 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Unprofessional conduct with a nurse, unrelated to patient care. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions including 45 days suspension. May 1, 1989

Hughes, Donald D., M.D. (C-039856) - Thousand Oaks, CA
2236 B&P Code

INFANTE, Richard Stephen, M.D. (G-046107) - Los Angeles, CA
2242, 2236, 2237, 2238 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Prescribed controlled drugs without good faith prior examination and medical indication, resulting in conviction for prescribing to persons not under his treatment for a pathology. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation under terms and conditions, including 90 days suspension. April 24, 1989

JOHNSON, Sidney M., M.D. (C-029891) - Oxnard, CA
2234(e), 2236 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Conviction for income tax fraud, which is a form of dishonesty. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions. April 26, 1989

JONES, James W., M.D. (A-029077) - San Jose, CA
2234 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Unprofessional conduct related to prescribing practices. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions. July 10, 1989

KORLIJAN, Ralph G., M.D. (A-028301) - San Pedro, CA
2236, 2261, 2262 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Federal conviction for making false claims for medical services against the United States Workmens Compensation Fund. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions, including 60 days suspension. May 5, 1989

KRONMAN, Barry S., M.D. (A-021371) - Melbourne, FL
2305 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Reprimand decision by Florida Medical Board. Reprimand. April 26, 1989

LIBRES, Joseph J., M.D. (A-024067) - Carson, CA
2234(b),(d), 2242, 725, 490, 2237 B&P Code
While employed at a lay-owned clinic, he wrote about 1,000 triplicate prescriptions for Schedule II controlled drugs. Excessive prescribing without prior examination and medical indication; gross negligence; incompetence; conviction. Revoked, stayed, 7 years probation on terms...
and conditions, including 90 days suspension.
May 22, 1989

LOEB, Phillip M., M.D. (G-009700) - San Francisco, CA
725, 2234(c), 810, 2262 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. False insurance billings and operative reports, repeated acts of negligence, excessive treatment or procedures at a San Francisco Ancare Clinic (hemorrhoid and anorectal surgery) constitutes unprofessional conduct. Repeatedly failed to comply with probationary terms and conditions. Self-use of controlled substances. Prior disciplines. Revoked.
July 15, 1989

MILLAR, Glenn C., M.D. (G-003482) - San Luis Obispo, CA
2234 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Failure to inform patient she had sustained a bladder injury during surgery constitutes unprofessional conduct. Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions.
April 24, 1989

MONTENEGRO, Jose, M.D. (C-035541) - Carlsbad, CA
822, 825 B&P Code
Mental illness, under treatment.
Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions.
April 6, 1989

O'BRIEN, Charles, M.D. (G-010043) - Arroyo Grande, CA
2234(d) B&P Code
Surgical incompetence in failure to remove ends of small bowel by ileostomy or other appropriate procedure in presence of infection in abdominal cavity.
Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions.
November 15, 1984 (Judicial Review Recently Completed)

PETTY, Preston D., M.D. (C-034876) - Big Springs, TX
2305 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Discipline by Texas Medical Board. Reprimand.
May 8, 1989

PITTMAN, Jerome S., M.D. (G-047112) - Los Angeles, CA
2237 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Federal conviction for distributing Talwin without a legitimate medical purpose.
Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions, including 60 days suspension.
July 7, 1989

SHARMA, Kanu D., M.D. (A-040854) - Hermosa Beach, CA
725, 490, 2234(a), (e), 2261, 2236, 2242 B&P Code
Federal conviction for altering and falsifying medical records related to grand jury investigation of his prescribing practices. Falsely certified medical disabilities. Prescribed without medical indication and in excessive amounts.
Revoked.
July 7, 1989

THURTLE, Robert J., M.D. (C-023747) - Richmond, CA
2238, 2239 B&P Code
Repeatedly failed to comply with probationary terms and conditions. Self-use of controlled substances.
Prior disciplines.
Revoked.
July 15, 1989

WELLOCK, Clyde E., M.D. (A-020355) - Healdsburg, CA
2234(b) B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Obstructed the common bile duct with a surgical clip during a cholecystectomy surgery. Gross negligence.
Revoked, stayed, 3 years probation on terms and conditions.
May 15, 1989

WILLIAMS, Aubrey, M.D. (A-029106) - Parkville, MO
2305 B&P Code
Discipline by Missouri Medical Board. No appearance at California hearing.
Revoked.
June 26, 1989

YAU, Pak Chenk, M.D. (A-034997) - Hayward, CA
Petition to revoke probation was settled by stipulated order adding a monitoring condition to a prior probationary order.
April 5, 1989

ZAGUIRRE, Jose, M.D. (A-019532) - La Habra, CA
2234, 2236 B&P Code
Engaged in lewd conduct with an 11-year-old girl, resulting in a felony conviction and state prison.
Revoked.
August 18, 1988 (Judicial Review Completed)

ACUPUNCTURIST DISCIPLINE

FRANCIS, Alan Howard (CL-550) - Panorama City, CA
726, 4955(g) B&P Code
Sexual misconduct with patients.
Revoked.
July 10, 1989

PODIATRIST DISCIPLINE

DIANA, James L., D.P.M. (E-2212) - Torrance, CA
2236 B&P Code
Criminal convictions on three separate occasions. Violated probation of prior discipline.
Revoked. Default.
July 27, 1989

PSYCHOLOGIST DISCIPLINE

DODGE, Richard L., Ph.D. (PS-5014) - Colton, CA
726, 2960(n) B&P Code
Sexual relations with patient.
Revoked.
April 10, 1989

FORCE, Elizabeth, Ph.D. (PE-4065) - Fresno, CA
2960(j), (n) B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Sexual relations with patient.
Revoked, stayed, 6 years probation on terms and conditions, including 90 days suspension.
July 3, 1989

GOTTUSO, John Baptiste, Ph.D. (PB-4359) - Arcadia, CA
2960(n), (j) B&P Code
Sexual relations with patients.
Revoked.
May 22, 1989

LETNER, Rodney, Ph.D. (PL-5430) - Chico, CA
2960(j) B&P Code
Sexual relations with patient.
Revoked, stayed, 6 years probation on terms and conditions, including 9 months suspension.
April 10, 1989

SCHAFFER, Jerry, Ph.D. (PL-3395) - Walnut Creek, CA
2960(a), (n) B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Conviction for Medi-Cal fraud.
Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions.
July 27, 1989

Continued, Page 6
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WITTENBERG, Paul, Ph.D. (PL-5726) - Torrance, CA
2960, 2963 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Conviction for Medi-Cal fraud.
Revoked, stayed, 5 years probation on terms and conditions, including 14 days suspension.
May 8, 1989

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT DISCIPLINE

KINDEL, Steven A., P.A. (PA-10841) - Palm Springs, CA
2234(e), 3527 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Signed false “Certificate to Return to Work.”
Revoked, stayed, 2 years probation on terms and conditions.
July 24, 1989

RESPIRATORY CARE PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINE

BLEDSOE, Gregory E., R.C.P. (PZ-9203) - Atwater, CA
490, 2238, 3750(a) B&P Code
Conviction for filing fraudulent claim of loss with his insurance company. Wrote false prescriptions for codeine for self-use. Also, made false statements in his application for license.
Revoked. Default.
May 18, 1989

FREEMAN, Cynthia Frances, R.C.P. (PK-2230) - Sepulveda, CA
490, 3750 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Made false statements in license application concealing two prior misdemeanor convictions.
Revoked, stayed, 2 years probation on terms and conditions.
July 24, 1989

MAYTORENA, Frank Thomas, R.C.P. (PH-7854) - Corona, CA
490, 3750(j) B&P Code
Fraudulently procured license by filing false application concealing prior criminal convictions. Also, sexually molested a number of children.
Revoked. Default.
May 19, 1989

MOLINA, Stephanie H., R.C.P. (RC-0132) - Rowland Heights, CA
822, 3750(j) B&P Code
Mental illness.
Revoked. Default.
June 5, 1989

PORTER, Thomas J., R.C.P. (PZ-8876) - Modesto, CA
3750(d)(j) B&P Code
Convictions for theft.
Revoked. Default.
July 10, 1989

WILLIAMS, Terry Lynn, R.C.P. (RP-3950) - Palo Alto, CA
3750(d), 3752.5 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Conviction for battery with serious bodily injury.
Revoked, stayed, 2 years probation on terms and conditions.
April 26, 1989

PSYCHOLOGIST

GROVES, Frank F., Ph.D. (PSY-3263) - Castro Valley, CA
April 14, 1989

APPLICATION CASES
Decisions affecting applicants for license following requests for Statement of Issues and Administrative Hearings.

PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON

OPRAN, George - Huntington Beach, CA
2102(c), 2096 B&P Code
Failed to show satisfactory completion of one year postgraduate training in approved hospital in the U.S. or Canada.
License denied.
May 4, 1989.

SHEA, Dianne K. - Syracuse, NY
2080, 2102(a) B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Ineligible for licensure for failure to meet statutory requirements.
License Denied.
July 10, 1989

RESPIRATORY CARE PRACTITIONER

SPARKER, Richard C. - El Cajon, CA
3750(j), 3750.5(a) B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Self-use of Demerol.
License granted, 5 years probation on terms and conditions.
June 9, 1989

ACUPUNCTURIST

SEKITO, June - San Diego, CA
480, 4955 B&P Code
Stipulated Decision. Conviction for practicing acupuncture before license is obtained. Also, dishonest billing to insurance company by her chiropractic practice.
Barred from taking the Acupuncture exam until after 2/1/90.
June 5, 1989

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT

VARTANIAN, John J. - Downey, CA
480(a)(1), (a)(3), 3531 B&P Code
Prior misdemeanor convictions.
License granted subject to two years probation on terms and conditions.
May 17, 1989
Alzheimer’s, Other Dementias Now Reportable to Health Departments

Harold N. Mozar, M.D. and James T. Howard, M.S., Alzheimer’s Disease Program, California Department of Health Services

California physicians now are required to report Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and other related disorders to their county or city health departments. Reports are made on Confidential Morbidity Report cards, as are lapses of consciousness including epileptic seizures. This requirement is contained in Section 410, Health and Safety Code, and in Sections 2500 and 2572, Title 17, California Code of Regulations.

In addition to the specific disorders in the above list, any chronic confusional state unresponsive to treatment should be reported.

The local health department will forward the reports through the Department of Health Services to the Department of Motor Vehicles. As with other reportable disorders, the DMV is responsible for evaluating each patient to determine whether he or she can continue driving. Suspension of the driving privilege is not automatic.

Failure to report a diagnosed or suspected case of AD or other reportable condition may lead to physician liability if the patient is involved in a motor vehicle accident. In addition, failure to report may be grounds for disciplinary action against the physician’s license.

AD-type disorders affect information processing, language, motor functions, vision, reaction time, space perception and memory. Drugs required for the relief of symptoms may exacerbate the cognitive and motor impairments. Even in patients with early stage conditions, the episodic deficits in attention, memory, and judgement may be sufficient to place the patient at risk at least occasionally.

Studies of such patients demonstrate a pattern of increased occurrence of vehicle accidents, often involving lane changes or negotiating intersections with traffic signals.

Disorders Related to Alzheimer’s Disease

- Multi-infarct dementia
- Pick’s disease
- Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease
- Dementia - chronic alcoholism
- Dementia - Parkinson’s disease
- Dementia - Huntington’s disease
- Dementia - late syphilis
- Dementia - AIDS
- Dementia pugilistica

1 These are related to AD only in the sense of being irreversible and progressively degenerative.
2 Most common of AD-related disorders.

Added to the likelihood of getting lost or forgetting where they are going or how to get home, the complex demands of driving may present a serious hazard to such patients.

Family members or caregivers may be grateful to have the driving responsibility shifted away from the patient.

Although many AD patients regard losing the driving privilege as a terrible loss of freedom, others may express relief. In some cases, family members or caregivers may be grateful to have the responsibility for driving shifted away from the patient. Regardless, the attending physician is required by both law and medical ethics to report conditions which represent a danger to either the patient or other drivers.

For additional information, please contact the Alzheimer’s Disease Program, Adult Health Section, Department of Health Services, P. O. Box 942732, Sacramento, CA 95814. (916) 327-4660.

California Conservation Corps Issues Insurance Warning

The California Conservation Corps (CCC) recently reported problems with former corps members who are telling physicians they are still covered by the CCC health insurance plan. If you have patients who claim CCC insurance coverage, you may wish to confirm that coverage in advance.

Former corps members are permitted to continue their coverage by paying premiums themselves. Enrollment can be checked by contacting Metropolitan Life, (415) 546-3222 between 8 am and 5 pm daily.
OPHTHALMOLOGISTS
Continued from Page 7

Medicare), and nursing home "sweeps". He coined the term "Buccaneer Ophthalmologist" to describe "high volume eye surgeons who are more interested in the money they make than in their patients' wellbeing."

Some entrepreneurs send mass mailings to their communities. You can be lured from the comfort of your own easy-chair by an offer of free eye examinations, only to find yourself in the doctor's operating room.

THE CASES BMQA GETS

As a BMQA medical consultant, I have seen a number of cases involving ophthalmologists. While some have involved unadorned incompetence, most of these complaints have reflected ordinary greed. Often, simple steps taken by an attending physician can prevent the worst abuses. For example, charts of nursing home patients should have basic information on vision.

If you suddenly learn your patient is scheduled for cataract surgery, check the visual acuity yourself. Then discuss your findings with the ophthalmologist. This is particularly important if the patient is confused, bed-bound, extremely old, or for any other reason does not seem to be an appropriate candidate for cataract surgery.

Another safeguard might be to require a photo of the posterior membrane before approving a laser capsulotomy. Recently I reviewed a complaint case where the physician's chart indicated the patient was aphakic. One eye had a posterior chamber lens with a large post-laser capsulotomy central opening. The other eye had an intra-capsular cataract extraction with an anterior chamber lens. The following year, this patient underwent bilateral laser capsulotomies because of "bilateral opacified capsules". This case was referred to the Board by the Medicare carrier.

In another case, a patient cancelled a second cataract surgery because of persistent pain in the first eye. When his regular physician evaluated his condition, he found the vision in the unoperated eye to be 20/20, UN-CORRECTED! The attending physician reported the complaint to us.

One optometrist reported a "buccaneer" to the board after several of his own patients told him the ophthalmologist had recommended immediate cataract surgery to preserve their sight. The O.D. found normal visual acuities for each of the elderly patients involved.

Advances in technology should not automatically bring along advances in dishonesty. Certainly there always will be variations in treatment methods. But that is not what we are talking about here. It's the blatant unnecessary surgery, in violation of Business and Professions Code Section 725, that concerns the Board. As a surgeon giving a second opinion, it is not enough to write that the surgery is not indicated. If you have reason to believe the physician is contemplating a "remunerectomy" on the patient's wallet or insurance plan, as a matter of conscience, you should contact the board.
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